COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES Washington 25

October 19, 1951

B-105707

Commander J. H. Warner, SC, USN, Accountable Officer Director, Special Payments Division Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Navy Department Cleveland Mr. Ohio

Dear Commander Warner:

Reference is made to your letter of September 13x1251, file Rh:D:53

25XIA9a requesting advice whether the retired pay of

Economy Act of 1932, because of his employment by the Central Intelligence Agency as a consultant on an intermittent tasis.

Section 212 of the Economy Act approved June 30, 1932, 47 Stat. 406, as amended, codified as 5 U.S.Code 59a, provides:

appointive or elective, under the United States Covernment or the nunicipal government of the District of Columbia or under any comporation, the majority of the stock of which is owned by the United States, shall be entitled, during the period of such incumbency, to retired pay from the United States for or on account of services as a commissioned officer in any of the services mentioned in Title 37, at a rate in excess of an amount which when combined with the annual rate of compensation from such civilian office or position, makes the total rate from both sources more than \$3,000; and when the retired pay amounts to or exceedable rate of \$3,000 per annum such person shall be entitled to the pay of the civilian office or position or the retired pay, whichever he may elect. As used in this section, the term 'retired pay' shall be construed to include credits for all services that lawfully may enter into the computation thereof."

25X1A9a applicability of the above act is dependent on whether is to be regarded as holding "a civilian office or position ***
under the United States Government." In Office decision of January 17,
19h7, Comp. Gen. 501, it was held that the employment of a retired officer on a fee basis as a consultant in an advisory capacity is not the holding of a civilian office or position" within the meaning of section 212 of said statute. However, in commenting on that decision in 28 Comp. Gen. 381, it was streed as follows:

of the said section 212 of the Looney Act all retired officers merely because of their employment designation, for administrative purposes, as consultants' — a title which necessarily implies the rendition of a certain amount of consultation services, comprising the expression of views and the giving of opinions and recommendations, but which does not necessarily limit the services to be rendered thereunder to such narrow consarily limit the services to be rendered thereunder to such narrow consarily limit the services to be rendered thereunder to such narrow consarily limit the services to be rendered thereunder to such narrow constitute of the duties required is purely advisory, generally performed the nature of the duties required is purely advisory, generally performed at infrequent intervals, and the compensation payable therefor is upon a fee basis, as distinguished from a purely time basis, the status of the employee is not such as would constitute the holding of an office or position within contemplation of section 212.

letter reveals that he was employed as an intermittent consultant "to consult with the National Estimates Board as a specialist in armed service (primarily naval) aspects of national interligence estimates," and that his rate of compensation is fixed at \$50 per consultation.

Agency that the consultant services of the Central Intelligence 25X1A9a when called upon from time to time are purely advisory and rather infrequent. Also, that such consultant one normally do not require more than one day but in the event they should extend over into the next day, the Admiral would only be entitled to one fee of \$50. Clearly, therefore, the

Approved For Release 2001/07/26: CIA-RDP60-00442R000100030056-0

B-105707

the Central Intelligence agency.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

Sincerel yours,

Comptroller General of the United States